

GE79

**West, Lora**

**From:** Brancato, Gilda M [BrancatoGM@state.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 21, 2006 6:32 PM  
**To:** Kovar, Jeffrey D; Padmanabhan, Vijay M; Witten, Samuel M; Lagon, Mark P; Barks-Ruggles, Erica J (DRL)  
**Subject:** FW: Enforced Disappearances informals

FYI.

---

**From:** Levin, Jan(Geneva)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 21, 2006 12:30 PM  
**To:** Legal-L-HRR  
**Cc:** IO-RHS users; DRL-MLA-DL; DePirro, Vella M; Barton, Paula J; Levin, Jan  
**Subject:** Enforced Disappearances informals

Please find attached below the new draft resolution from France on Enforced Disappearances. During this morning's informals, there were no strong objections to the Convention expressed. India noted it would have preferred an optional protocol to the ICCPR, but participated constructively in negotiations and still has concerns. No suggestion that they would call for a vote.

Those who announced as co-sponsors were: Chile, Argentina, Switzerland, Spain, Hungary, Ireland, and Estonia.

Those who support: Morocco, Austria, Russia, New Zealand (announced would support during GA when it can participate), Brazil, Canada, Azerbaijan, Mexico, Ukraine and Japan.

France noted it would table the resolution promptly so that it can be translated and distributed, and cosponsors would have time to prepare interpretive statements to be delivered at the time of adoption of the text.



06.12.06 Draft In'tl  
conventio...